

Ann Coulter is a bitch? Who knew?

By Adam Lehman

“I dedicate this entry to Ann Coulter, the conservative pundit who recently released a book in which she not only bashes a group of liberal women who lost husbands in the 9/11 attacks, but also entire groups of liberals themselves. These “harpies,” according to her statements, are grief mongers using their status to bash the current administration’s policies and practices.

In case you’re one of the two dozen or so people who haven’t heard of the book’s recent release, you must be living under a rock. “Surely, I must have offended more than those four harpies,” she says on her website. “Wait ‘til you get a load of what I say about liberals in the rest of the book! You haven’t seen the half of it.”

Surprise! Ann Coulter just plugged her book, and anyone with even a basic understanding of how the media works can see why Ann would make such scathing remarks to coincide with the release. Angry remarks provoke angry reactions, which in turn create media coverage. Media coverage provides exposure. Exposure equals more book sales. More book sales equal a nice paycheck. A nice paycheck enables Ann to keep writing more acerbic opinions. In my opinion, each time Ann Coulter bashes these women or liberal thinking Americans to create friction she only shows how much of a harpy she is herself.

I take offense in most of Ann’s logic. Let me say that while I am a liberal thinking American, I do not share 100% of my beliefs with the Democratic Party that receives the majority of Ann’s ire. She uses a broad paintbrush and applies one color across an entire canvas of people. Just because I side with the Democratic Party with most issues does not mean I agree with the party on every issue. For example, I am pro-life. More importantly, I feel that since I am not a woman, I can’t begin to understand what a woman must feel when she considers abortion. Does Ann share that compassion? As Whitney Houston would say on her reality show: “Hell to the no.”

Even though I am pro-life, people like Ann Coulter irk me so much I’d consider becoming pro-choice just for the odd chance that people like her will stop being born.

It is no surprise than Ann shifts gears in the same statement on her website to also attack former U.S. Surgeon General C. Everett Koop, who attempted to remove some of the negative stigmas associated with AIDS during his tenure.

“Instead of distributing condoms in gay bars and at productions of the play "Rent," where they might have done some good,” she says, “Koop insisted on distributing condoms in kindergarten classes, in order to emphasize the point that AIDS does not discriminate, which it does.”

AIDS first emerged in 1981 under the name GRID, or Gay-Related Immunity Deficiency. The name change occurred shortly thereafter when the Center for Disease Control quantified data showing nearly half of those infected with the disease were not homosexual men. Ann’s assertion that distributing condoms at productions of RENT, a musical that debuted on Broadway in 1996 (nearly 15 years after AIDS first emerged), is exactly the problem with the Republican ideology she so forcefully defends. If the federal government would have acted in a more responsible manner in the early stages of the disease, AIDS would be much less of an issue.

Unfortunately for the gay community, AIDS initially killed off the so-called “undesirable” section of the country. The same is true today in Southern Africa, where an estimated 15-50% of people are now living (and dying) with the disease. “Why should we help them? We don’t really like them anyway” Ann and her Republican friends must think as they drive their SUV’s past the rest of our struggling country.

People like Ann are more interested in saving the life of an unborn child than sick people already on this planet. Unfortunately, some estimates claim AIDS killed about 2.4 - 3.3 million lives in 2005. Of that number, more than 570,000 were children. Where is her compassion now?

The manner in which one supposed-minority (a woman) can so nonchalantly attack another minority (the gay population) turns my stomach. I could only wish to turn back the clock to when women were expected to stay at home. They were not allowed to work, or even think. If I could live in that time period, Ann Coulter’s mouth would finally be quiet. The only problem is the rest of the American women don't deserve that fate.

The letter that prompted my ire was posted on her website. It said:

"I dedicate this column to John Murtha, the reason soldiers invented fragging.

In response to the arguments of my opponents, I say: Waaaaaaaaaah! Boo hoo hoo!

If you're upset about what I said about the Witches of East Brunswick, try turning the page. Surely, I must have offended more than those four harpies. Wait 'til you get a load of what I say about liberals in the rest of the book! You haven't seen the half of it.

For snarling victims, my book is Christmas in July. Hey — where's Max the grenade-dropper? Let's keep this diaper-fest going all summer.

How about these pungent points:

— No liberal cause is defended with more dishonesty than abortion. No matter what else they pretend to care about from time to time — undermining national security, aiding terrorists, oppressing the middle class, freeing violent criminals — the single most important item on the Democrats' agenda is abortion. Indeed, abortion is the one issue the Democratic Party is willing to go to war over — except in the Muslim world, which is jam-packed with prohibitions on abortion, but going to war against a Muslim nation might also serve America's national security objectives. Liberals don't care about women. They care about destroying human life. To them, 2,200 military deaths in the entire course of a war in Iraq is unconscionable, but 1.3 million aborted babies in America every year is something to celebrate.

— Frederica A. Massiah-Jackson of the Philadelphia Common Pleas Court was known for shouting obscenities from the bench and identifying undercover policemen in open court. Bill Clinton nominated Massiah-Jackson to be a federal district court judge in 1997. Among other notable rulings, Judge Massiah-Jackson sentenced the brutal rapist of a 10-year-old girl to the statutory minimum and apologized to the rapist, saying: "I just don't think the five to 10 years is appropriate in this case even assuming you were found guilty." She refused to allow the district attorney to present a pre-sentence report or victim impact statement, saying: "What would be the point of that?" After his release, the defendant was rearrested for raping a 9-year-old boy.

Massiah-Jackson wasn't some random nut nominated by Clinton by accident, like Janet Reno or Ruth Bader Ginsburg. She was a liberal heroine. The New York Times was in high dudgeon when Massiah-Jackson withdrew — and not because Massiah-Jackson had sneered at AIDS victims and rape victims ... The Times was in a snit because of the "judicial mugging" the Senate had put her through. Massiah-Jackson, the Times said, "now returns to the state bench, battered but with her honor intact. Unfortunately, the same cannot be said of the Senate."

— Liberals were afraid of a book that told the truth about IQ ("The Bell Curve") because they are godless secularists who do not believe humans are in God's image. Christians have no fear of hearing facts about genetic differences in IQ because we don't think humans are special because they are smart. There may be some advantages to being intelligent, but a lot of liberals appear to have high IQs, so, really, what's the point? After Hitler carried the secularists' philosophy to its grisly conclusion, liberals are terrified of making any comment that seems to acknowledge that there are any differences among groups of people — especially racial groups. It's difficult to have a simple conversation — much less engage in free-ranging, open scientific inquiry — when liberals are constantly rushing in with their rule book about what can and cannot be said.

— While gays were being decimated by the AIDS virus, U.S. Surgeon General C. Everett Koop was more interested in not "stigmatizing" them than in saving their lives. See, where I come from, being dead also carries a certain type of stigma. Instead of distributing condoms in gay bars and at productions of the play "Rent," where they might have done some good, Koop insisted on distributing condoms in kindergarten classes, in order to emphasize the point that AIDS does not discriminate, which it does.

In 1987, New York Times reporter Maureen Dowd — before she was elevated to the cartoon pages — wrote a heroic portrait of the man. Dr. Koop, she said "fiercely wants to strip AIDS of its stigma," and for that reason, he talks "about making an animated educational video that would feature two condoms 'with little eyes on them' chatting, and about the need for 'gentle, nonmystifying' sex education for students, starting in kindergarten." I would pay quite a bit of money to hear someone describe anal sex — oh hell, make it any kind of sodomy — to a 5-year-old in a gentle, nonmystifying way.

Finally, a word to those of you out there who have yet to be offended by something I have written or said: Please be patient. I am working as fast as I can."

COPYRIGHT 2006 ANN COULTER

DISTRIBUTED BY UNIVERSAL PRESS SYNDICATE

4520 Main Street, Kansas City, MO 64111